

ARMY RESEARCH LABORATORY



Improved Inorganic Coatings For Gun Table Launchers

Dario A. Emeric, George D. Farmer, and Howard E. Horner

ARL-TR-462

October 1994

19950320 107

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.

The findings in this report are not to be construed as an official Department of the Army position unless so designated by other authorized documents.

Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof.

Destroy this report when it is no longer needed. Do not return it to the originator.

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved
OMB No. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden. to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TYPE AN	D DATES COVERED
	June 1994	Final Octo	ber 1992 to May 1994
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE Improved Inorganic Coatings for Gun Tube Launchers 6. AUTHOR(S)			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Dario A. Emeric, George Howard E. Horner			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) U.S. Army Research Laboratory Materials Directorate		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
Polymers and Mechanics Division, AMSRL-MA-E Fort Belvoir, Virginia 22060-5606			ARL-TR-462
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY	NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
POC: Dario A. Emeric, D	SN 654-1985 or Comme	ercial (703) 70	4-1985
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY STAT	EMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public rele	ase; distribution un	limited.	
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)			
Electric guns horre source	e corrector and proctor re	roblems due to the	high tomporature and

Electric guns have severe corrosion and erosion problems due to the high temperature and pressures produced by the plasma and gun hyper-velocities. The mechanisms of corrosion and erosion in gun-tube launchers are very complex. The overcoming of erosion and corrosion has become very important since they will determine the service life (conventional guns have a service life of 500 to 1000 rounds depending upon the propellant and projectile used) of the electric guns. The guns will be subject to very high plasma temperatures (10,000°C to 50,000°C) giving rise to alternating stresses of temperature and pressure. It will also be subject to the chemical reaction of the hot gases produced by the combustion of the propellant and to the abrasive action of the unburned propellant and debris. In addition, it will be subject to ambient temperature corrosion because of the collection of combustion products and condensate in the cracks and crevices produced by the use of the gun. Materials and coatings will be needed that can resist or withstand the above mentioned conditions.

14. SUBJECT TERMS			15. NUMBER OF PAGES		
			10		
Plating, Guns, Gun Barrels, Propellant			16. PRICE CODE		
		_ 			
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT		
OF REPORT Unclassified	OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	111		
Olic 1855 11 Ted	uncrassified	Unclassified	UL		

Contents

F	Page
Introduction	. 1
Objective	. 1
Gun Barrels	. 1
Plating or Coating of Gun Barrels	. 1
Electric Guns	. 2
Liquid Propellant	. 2
Electromagnetic	. 3
Electrothermal-Chemical	. 3
Ram Accelerator Gun	. 4
Conclusions	. 4
Recommendations	. 4
References	. 6
Selected Bibliography	. 6

Accesi	on For	
NTIS CRA&I II DTIC TAB II Unannounced II Justification		
By Distrib	ution/	
А	vailability	y Co des
Dist	Avail a Spe	

Preface

The mission of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Materials Directorate (ARL-MD) is to serve as the Army's principal executor of materials research and development, to provide advanced, improved materials options for Army systems developers and soldier support. Based on the above, it was decided to investigate the state of the materials technology relative to the fast degradation and wear of inorganic coatings and refractory coatings during the testing of the electric guns and, if possible, to find materials and/or coatings that can withstand the high temperatures and pressures produced or encountered during the firing or operation of the electric guns.

Introduction

Conventional gun barrels are highly optimized designs. The M1A1 gun tube weighs approximately 1180 kg and has a length of 5.3 meters. This corresponds to a mass-to-length ratio of about 223 kg/m for a maximum muzzle energy of 9 MJ. Conventional gun barrels have a service life of 500 to 1000 rounds depending upon the propellant and projectile used. These gun barrels can be fired at a rate ranging from 5 to 12 rounds per minute [1].

Excellent adhesion is a primary requirement of any coating deposition technique. Since parts' geometry is complex, the deposition process must possess good throwing power. Porosity is undesirable, therefore, the coating thickness should be such to ensure a total barrier or its density should closely approach that of the substrate. Several coatings and combinations thereof have been used or tested for protection of gun barrels. The coatings are selected for their environmental durability (resistance to erosion, corrosion, etc.) and compatibility with the propellants [2].

Electric guns have severe corrosion and erosion problems due to the high temperature and pressures produced by the plasma and hyper-velocities during the operation of the gun-tube launchers. The mechanisms of corrosion and erosion in gun-tube launchers are very complex. The overcoming of erosion and corrosion has become very important since they will determine the service life of the electric guns. In addition, it will be subject to ambient temperature corrosion because of the collection of combustion products and condensate in the cracks and crevices produced by the use of the gun.

Objective

It was decided to investigate the reason for the fast degradation and wear of inorganic coatings and refractory coatings associated with the electric guns and, if possible, to find materials and/or coatings that can withstand the high temperatures and pressures produced or encountered during the firing or operation of the electric guns.

Gun Barrels

Conventional gun barrels are highly optimized designs. The M1A1 gun tube weighs approximately 1180 kg and has a length of 5.3 meters. This corresponds to a mass-to-length ratio of about 223 kg/m for a maximum muzzle energy of 9 MJ. Conventional gun barrels have a service life of 500 to 1000 rounds depending on the propellant and projectile used. These gun barrels can be fired at a rate ranging from 5 to 12 rounds per minute [1].

Plating or Coating of Gun Barrels

Excellent adhesion is a primary requirement of any deposition technique. Since parts' geometry is complex, the deposition process must possess good throwing power. Porosity is undesirable, therefore, the coating thickness should be such to ensure a total barrier or its density should closely approach that of the substrate. Several coatings have been used or tested for protection of gun barrels: aqueous and nonaqueous deposition of low and high contractile chromium, refractory metals, and ceramics.

Coating processes to be used are: plating, the fused salt method, and the physical/chemical vapor deposition method. Other processes are: thermal spraying, ion plating, and implantation [2]. The ceramic surfaces of high temperature, vapor-phase synthesis processed materials provide both wear and corrosion resistance protecting the interior of the host material. The materials have relatively high thermal conductivities and, unlike conventional oxide ceramics, are not particularly susceptible to thermal shock. Some of these coatings are: tantalum plus 10% tungsten (3040°C) and hafnium carbide plus tantalum carbide (3900°C) [3]. Another method being considered is inserting plated liners in the gun barrels. The only drawback here is that the liner may not be able to be removed from the gun [2]. The coatings are selected for their environmental durability (resistance to erosion, corrosion, etc.) and compatibility with the propellants.

Electric Guns

Electric guns have severe corrosion and erosion problems due to the high temperature and pressures produced by the plasma and gun hyper-velocities. The mechanisms of corrosion and erosion in gun-tube launchers are very complex. The overcoming of erosion and corrosion has become very important since they will determine the service life (conventional guns have a service life of 500 to 1000 rounds depending upon the propellant and projectile used) of the electric guns. The guns will be subject to the chemical reaction of the hot gases produced by the combustion of the propellant and to the abrasive action of the unburned propellant and debris. In addition, it will be subject to ambient temperature corrosion because of the collection of combustion products and condensate in the cracks and crevices produced by the use of the gun [4]. Materials and coatings will be needed that can resist or withstand the above mentioned conditions.

Liquid Propellant

There are several liquid propellant formulations being tested, such as XM46 and XM86. The combustion products are mainly water (71%), nitrogen (16.6%), carbon dioxide (12.4%), and other compounds (0.1%) [2]. This should reduce muzzle blast and flash, but it will make the gun subject to ambient temperature corrosion because of the collection of combustion products and condensate in the cracks and crevices produced by the use of the gun. Materials and coatings are needed to resist in-bore aerodynamic The materials and coatings used in the system must be compatible with the liquid propellant being tested because their incompatibility would be detrimental [2]. The erosion and/or corrosion of unprotected metal and incompatible coatings that are in contact with the propellant may affect the stability, performance, and function of the system. The seals (elastomeric and metal-to-metal) are wearing out at a fast rate giving rise to safety-related problems, degradation of performance, and malfunctions. Artillery will be the main user of the liquid propellant system with the system expected to be fielded in the year 2006. It is designed to be a 55-ton, self-propelled 155 mm howitzer. It will feature automated handling and firing of projectiles to achieve a very high maximum rate of fire; 12 or more rounds per minute compared to 4 rounds per minute for existing howitzers. Conventional tank guns can fire at a rate ranging from 5 to 12 rounds per minute [1].

Electromagnetic

The electromagnetic gun (the standard configuration of the electromagnetic gun consists of two parallel copper rails that act as both conductor and barrel) or a variation called a railgun (electromagnetic coils at fixed distances that fire the projectile according to the magnetic field provided by the coils) should reach a projectile velocity of six km/sec with a minimum ablation (ablation limits repetitive firing, decreases velocity, and may cause plasma armature separation and restrike) of the propulsion system, and to be able to fire multiple shots (active cooling of the system is needed for multiple shots) [4]. In a railgun, the projectile is forced ahead of the rapidly moving plasma (10,000°C to 50,000°C) are at greater velocities (greater than 4 km/sec) than conventional explosivedriven guns. The Air Force [5] found that graphite-coated copper rails provide the lowest ablation of any practical rail material for all rail guns except those with extremely high injection velocities. The performance of plasma armature is strongly degraded by bore ablation effects at velocities greater than 5 km/s. Hot-pressed boron nitride surfaced insulators appear to provide the best combined ablation, electrical, and structural performance. Conventional plasma spraying and chemical vapor deposition were found to be poor rail coating processes. Problems were associated primarily with coating porosity and poor coating-to-substrate bond strength. Tungsten carbide and tungsten/copper mixtures were found to be poor rail coating materials. Coating bond strengths were poor, and theory indicates that their ablation performance is inferior relative to pure tungsten [4]. In summary, barrel erosion has been one of the greatest drawbacks to the electromagnetic launcher (EML) development. They have demonstrated a life of 20 to 50 rounds (conventional guns have a service life to 500 to 1000 rounds depending upon the propellant and projectile used) before refurbishment or replacement is required; therefore, materials and coatings are needed that can withstand the operating environment (plasma and nonplasma). The materials also have to withstand in-bore and aerodynamic heating.

Electrothermal-Chemical

The Army is working mostly in the laboratory on 30 mm guns and the Navy is working on 60, 105, and 160 mm guns [6]. The world's first rapid-fire 60 mm electrothermal gun was delivered to the Navy in June 1993 [7]. It will be able to repetitively fire smart projectiles weighing more than 6 lbs. at a muzzle velocity greater than 4000 feet per second. The gun has a firing rate of four rounds per minute with a burst size of 10 rounds (conventional tank guns can fire at a rate ranging from 5 to 12 rounds per minute). The Navy is combining the electrothermal gun with concurrently developed small-caliber smart munitions. Any electronic components of smart munitions fired by the gun would have to withstand accelerations between 30,000 and 45,000 times normal gravity. The projectile mass will be in the range of 1.7 to 3.5 kilograms. The guided projectile will have a minimum range of 2500 feet, the safe-kill is closer to 3500 feet. The system consists of a pyrotechnic igniter, gas transfer tubing, and the control valve section. The gas generator is a dual grain solid propellant housed in the projectile's aeroshell. The grain materials are an ammonium perchlorate oxidizer with a carboxyl-terminated polybutadiene fuel. The demonstration sabot design uses 7075-T6 aluminum (subject to stress corrosion cracking), but a graphite epoxy will be used in the production tactical version [7]. One of the material's problems encountered is the erosion of the electrodes (tungsten/copper) by the plasma produced during the operation of the electrothermal-chemical (ETC) guns. In addition, nonconductive ablative materials are also needed [6].

Ram Accelerator Gun

The ram accelerator gun, a nonelectromagnetic gun system, is now being built by the Air Force [5], as well as the Army [8]. The Air Force's version is a tube with vents (for the venting of the 105 mm howitzer gases) and intake valves for the various combustible gases. The energy content of each section will be determined by the type of fuel and oxidizer used; therefore, the velocity of the projectile will be proportional to the type and blend of combustible fuel used. The initial acceleration of the projectile will be provided by a 105 mm howitzer. The Army's version of 120 mm tank guns and the accelerator consists of two or more joined gun barrels. These guns could be used to launch projectiles at a great distance with supersonic speed. Also, it could be used for testing full-scale penetrators against future armor at hypersonic velocities.

Conclusions

In order to find out about materials and/or coatings problems associated with hyper-velocity guns, the authors visited experts on the subject at several military installations, conducted several literature searches, and reviewed literature on the subject. Based on the above, it has been concluded that there are materials and coatings problems that will have to be solved if the electric guns are going to become part of the military arsenal. The concept scientist and/or engineer will try to prove the feasibility of the concept on paper. Once the feasibility of the concept has been proven, the concept is passed on to the developer. The developer makes the concept operational, with the materials and coatings commercially available, however, presently commercially available materials and/or coatings cannot withstand the operating environments for the extended periods of field operation; therefore, the electric guns prototypes do not meet the desired performance and the reliability requirement to have it in the field.

It is the authors' opinion that it is necessary for all the technical parties involved in the project to work in closer coordination from the concept stage, development, and fielding of the electric gun in order to develop materials to meet the desired field requirements. Extensive research and development efforts will be needed to develop materials that meet the desired performance requirements for the new generation of gun-tube launchers.

After an extensive search for literature and personal communication with experts on the subject, the authors are not aware of any coatings or materials that will minimize the materials problems sufficiently to meet present field operational requirements (see the Recommendation Section). Many contractors and military agencies are already working on the technologies to minimize the erosion and corrosion problems and to improve the performance of the existing materials being used in the electric guns prototypes.

Recommendations

Several material candidates or processes that may warrant further investigation or evaluation for potential applications in the gun-tube launchers are listed below:

- Diamond-like nanocomposites: The Air Force has awarded a contract to Advanced Refractory Technologies, Inc. of Buffalo, NY to evaluate diamond-like atomic-scale composite coatings for protection of insulators from high energy plasma. Those coatings are said to offer high temperature performance, ablation resistance, low coefficient of friction, and excellent adhesion [9].
- Platinum-modified aluminide coatings: The Naval Surface Warfare Center, Carderock Division in Annapolis, MD has evaluated three variations of a platinum-modified aluminide coating intended for use on gas turbine components for their hot corrosion resistance [10].
- Chemical vapor deposition (CVD) diamond-like: Norton Diamond Film of Northboro, MA uses proprietary CVD processes with DC arc-jet engine and microwave systems. Thick and thin diamond-like films with features characteristic of natural diamond can be produced. One application of the CVD diamond-like coating is in the cutting tools because of its hardness, wear resistance, and low coefficient of friction [11].
- Recent advances in high velocity oxyfuel (HVOF) thermal spray equipment and coating compositions: the HVOF thermal spray process can spray various carbides and noncarbide materials onto many substrates. It produces a coating which has greater thickness, stronger bonds, higher hardness, and durability than with other thermal spray technique such as plasma arc [12].
- Nanoparticles such as the ceramic titanium boride: Nanoparticles (particles 1/1000 of a micron) used as the basis of a new composite material could be as much as 1000% stronger and more resistant to fracture than traditional materials. Work on this material is being conducted by materials researchers at Washington University in Missouri [13].

One item that may be of interest was the launcher designed by the engineers at Southwest Research Institute. It propels bullet-sized aluminum particles at speeds up to 11.2 km/sec to stimulate space collisions. This project was funded by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. The launching technique uses a detonator, a conical-shaped charge, and an inhibitor made from a dense material. It has applications for a hypervelocity launcher [14].

Sandia National Labs has a hypervelocity launcher that can accelerate a 1/4-in.-diameter plate of metal to 15.8 km/sec, also to simulate space collisions [15].

References

- 1. Armed Forces Journal International. p. 33, April 1994 (Unclassified).
- 2. Personal Communication with Watervliet Arsenal Personnel (Unclassified).
- 3. Advanced Materials and Processes. p. 45-46, April 1993 (Unclassified).
- 4. POWARS, Charles A., DERBIDGE, T. Craig. Low Ablation Rail and Insulator Materials for Electromagnetic Railguns. (AD-B152 413) (Unclassified).
- 5. Personal Communication with Eglin Air Force Base, FL Personnel (Unclassified).
- 6. Personal Communication with Aberdeen Proving Grounds, MD Personnel (Unclassified).
- 7. National Defense. p. 38-39, September 1993 (Unclassified).
- 8. Popular Mechanics. p. 18, July 1993.
- 9. Advanced Materials and Processes. p. 13, September 1993 (Unclassified).
- 10. Navy Domestic Technology Transfer Fact Sheet, January 1993.
- 11. Industrial Heating. p. 24-29, December 1993.
- 12. Advanced Materials and Processes. p. 31-35, July 1994.
- 13. Design News. p. 13-14, July 25, 1994.
- 14. Design News. p. 28, April 25, 1994.
- 15. Design News. p. 16, May 9, 1994.

Selected Bibliography

IEEE Transactions on Magnetics, 6th Symposium on Electro-magnetic Launcher Technology. p. 29, 1993 (Unclassified)

DISTRIBUTION LIST

No. of Copies	То
1	Office of the Under Secretary of Defense for Research and Engineering, The Pentagon, Washington, DC 20301
1 1 1	Director, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20783-1197 ATTN: AMSRL-OP-SD-TP, Technical Publishing Branch AMSRL-OP-SD-TA, Records Management AMSRL-OP-SD-TL, Technical Library
2	Commander, Defense Technical Information Center, Cameron Station, Building 5, 5010 Duke Street, Alexandria, VA 23304-6145 ATTN: DTIC-FDAC
1	MIA/CINDAS, Purdue University, 2595 Yeager Road, West Lafayette, IN 47905
1	Commander, Army Research Office, P.O. Box 12211, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2211 ATTN: Information Processing Office
1	Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Command, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333 ATTN: AMCSCI
1	Commander, U.S. Army Materiel Systems Analysis Activity, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005 ATTN: AMXSY-MP, H. Cohen
1	Commander, U.S. Army Missile Command, Redstone Arsenal, AL 35809 ATTN: AMSMI-RD-CS-R/Doc
11	Commander, U.S. Army Armament, Munitions and Chemical Command, Dover, NJ 07801 ATTN: Technical Library
1	Commander, U.S. Army Natick Research, Development and Engineering Center Natick, MA 01760-5010 ATTN: SATNC-MI, Technical Library
1	Commander, U.S. Army Satellite Communications Agency, Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703 ATTN: Technical Document Center
1 1	Commander, U.S. Army Tank-Automotive Command, Warren, MI 48397-5000 ATTN: AMSTA-ZSK AMSTA-TSL, Technical Library
1	President, Airborne, Electronics and Special Warfare Board, Fort Bragg, NC 28307 ATTN: Library
1	Director, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Weapons Technology, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 ATTN: AMSRL-WT

Commander, Dugway Proving Ground, UT 84022

1 ATTN: Technical Library, Technical Information Division

Commander, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, 2800 Powder Mill Road, Adelphi, MD 20783

*

ŧ

ATTN: AMSRL-SS

Director, Benet Weapons Laboratory, LCWSL, USA AMCCOM, Watervliet, NY 12189

I ATTN: AMSMC-LCB-TL

1 AMSMC-LCB-R

1 AMSMC-LCB-RM

1 AMSMC-LCB-RP

Commander, U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, 220 7th Street, N.E., Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

3 ATTN: AIFRTC, Applied Technologies Branch, Gerald Schlesinger

Commander, U.S. Army Aeromedical Research Unit, P.O. Box 577, Fort Rucker, AL 36360 1 ATTN: Technical Library

U.S. Army Aviation Training Library, Fort Rucker, AL 36360

1 ATTN: Building 5906-5907

Commander, U.S. Army Agency for Aviation Safety, Fort Rucker, AL 3636

1 ATTN: Technical Library

Commander, Clarke Engineer School Library, 3202 Nebraska Ave., N., Fort Leonard Wood, MO 65473-5000

1 ATTN: Library

Commander, U.S. Army Engineer Waterways Experiment Station, P.O. Box 631, Vicksburg, MS 39180

1 ATTN: Research Center Library

Commandant, U.S. Army Quartermaster School, Fort Lee, VA 23801

1 ATTN: Quartermaster School Library

Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20375

1 ATTN: Code 6384

Chief of Naval Research, Arlington, VA 22217

1 ATTN: Code 471

Commander, U.S. Air Force Wright Research and Development Center, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, OH 45433-6523

1 ATTN: WRDC/MLLP, M. Forney, Jr.

WRDC/MLBC, Mr. Stanley Schulman

U.S. Department of Commerce, National Institute of Standards and Technology, Gaithersburg, MD 20899

1 ATTN: Stephen M Hsu, Chief, Ceramics Division, Institute for Materials Science and Engineering

- Committee on Marine Structures, Marine Board, National Research Council, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20418
- Materials Sciences Corporation, Suite 250, 500 Office Center Drive, Fort Washington, PA 19034
- 1 Charles Stark Draper Laboratory, 555 Technology Square, Cambridge, MA 02139

General Dynamics, Convair Aerospace Division, P.O. Box 748, Fort Worth, TX 76101 ATTN: Mfg. Engineering Technical Library

Plastics Technical Evaluation Center, PLASTEC, ARDEC, Bldg. 355N, Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

- 1 ATTN: Harry Pebly
- Department of the Army, Aerostructures Directorate, MS-266, U.S. Army Aviation R&T Activity AVSCOM, Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665-5225
- 1 NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA 23665-5255

U.S. Army Vehicle Propulsion Directorate, NASA Lewis Research Center, 2100 Brookpark Road, Cleveland, OH 44135-3191

1 ATTN: AMSRL-VP

Director, Defense Intelligence Agency, Washington, DC 20340-6053

1 ATTN: ODT-5A, Mr. Frank Jaeger

U.S. Army Communications and Electronics Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ 07703

1 ATTN: Technical Library

U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Electronic Power Sources Directorate, Fort Monmouth, NJ $\,$ 07703

1 ATTN: Technical Library

Army Research Laboratory, Fort Belvoir, VA 22060

15 ATTN: AMSRL-MA-E

U.S. Army Chemical Research, Development and Engineering Center, Edgewood, MD 21010-5423

1 ATTN: AMSMC-QAV

U.S. Army Aberdeen Proving Ground, Aberdeen Proving Ground, MD 21005-5066 1 ATTN: AMSRL-WT-PA (Mr. William Orberle)

Headquarters, U.S. Army Materiel Command, 5001 Eisenhower Avenue, Alexandria, VA 22333-0001

1 ATTN: AMCDMA-ML

U.S. Army Foreign Science and Technology Center, 220 7th Street, NE, Charlottesville, VA 22901-5396

1 ATTN: AMXST-IS3

No. of Copies To

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, 20 Massachusetts Avenue, NW, Room 3119, Washington, DC 20314

1 ATTN: DAEN-ASI-Tech Library

Naval Air Systems Command, Air 00D4, Washington, DC 20361

1 ATTN: Technical Library

Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, DC 20361

1 ATTN: Technical Library

Benet Laboratories, Watervliet Arsenal, Watervliet, NY 12189-4050

4 ATTN: SMCAR-CCB-R

2 SMCAR-CCB-D

Director, U.S. Army Research Laboratory, Watertown, MA 02172-0001

2 ATTN: AMSRL-OP-WT-IS, Technical Library

4 AMSRL-MA

15 Authors